

Email

RTI 1st appeal - submitted = Reg

Mon, Jul 06, 2020 02:00 PM

From : ravisangar1964@gmail.com
Subject : RTI 1st appeal - submitted = Reg
To : JointDevelopmentCommissioner MEPZ
 <jdc@mepz.gov.in>

06.07.2020

From
 S.Ravi Sangar,
 No.23.Sadasivan Nagar Extn -1,
 Madambakkam, Guduvancherry 603 202.

To
 The 1st Appellate Authority,
 MEPZ- SEZ, Admn. Block,
 Kadapperi, Tambaram,
 Chennai 45.

Sir,

Sub : Wrong and contradict reply furnished by the CPIO dated 16.06.2020 – 1st appeal
 submitted – Reg.
 Ref : 1. RTI application dated. 12.03.2020.
 2. CPIOs reply dated. 16.06.2020

=====

Please refer to the above letter cited on the subject mentioned. I am dissatisfied with the reply furnished by the CPIO for the following reason given below :-

SL NO	POINT NO	DETAILS OF REPLY FURNISHED BY THE CPIO	OBJECTION RAISED BY ME
1	6	There was no court proceedings having material effect on the promotion of the officials were available to be highlighted in the DPC	The reply is wrong . In the Case of Trans world Garnet India P limited, Toticorin, The Mudurai Bench of Madras High Court has passed order stating that the respondent contemnors (Mr. N.Rajalingam Presently promoted as ADC) to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of two weeks. Further, this Court imposes a fine of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) to be paid within three weeks, failing which they shall further undergo imprisonment for two more weeks.
	7	In the DPC grading of CRs for a period of five years will be placed.	Not acceptable one. I sought only the years of CRs and not total years .
	6	Since the details about the referred OM of DOPT was not placed before the DPC the promotion of individual has been defer	Contradict and vague information. I sought only the reason for not taken into account of DOP& T OM. No answe for "specify reason for the non availability of CRs.
	11	As per the available records	This is wrong reply. My CRs for the years

		in this office no such correspondence has been found.	2014-15 to the reporting officer has been forwarded to the then DDC (Smt.F.D Initha) during Novemner .2015 for review of the same. Since the reporting officer did not review the same , The Admn, Section again sent on 29.06.2016 to her for review of the CRs for the year 2013-14 & 2014-15. If the informations / documents are not available with this office , it is the duty of the CPIO to collect from any other department as per Act.
	12	Since there are a 3 rd party information involved.	Wrong information. Since this office officials are getting salary from the Govt. Of India only and not from out side . and there is no confidential involved . If so it will be presumed that this office had given wrong information in the Committee and promote such officials against the Rules. Provide correct information sought by me.
			In the DPC minutes of the DPC held dated 18.12.20219, you have informed that total no of post is 6, and 4 post to be filled by promotion (i.e.71 %) and 3 posts to be filled by promotion (66.66%) whether the Chair person of the DPC agreed this calculation ? the DPC is invalid and it is very well under stood that the committee did mot perused and signed in the minutes and the Committee had already decided to defer my case.

Kindly note that I have already sent complaint against to the CIC, New Delhi to impose penalty to the CPIO for delayed reply, which had been accepted by the CIC. Also I proposed to claim compensation and amount of compensation sought for loss in financial angle. **Under Section 19(8)(b) of the RTI Act,**

Kindly provide correct information as per Act, and

1. Direct the PIO to supply information within 10 days from the date of decision of the appeal;

2. Direct the PIO to supply information free of cost; since PIO failed to supply information within 30 days also return the excess amount out of Rs.50/- for not providing of copies of the documents

Thanking you

Yours faithfully ,

S.RAVI SANGAR